

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT United States Patent and Tradem Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATE P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. L-0170.41(Con) 10/614,842 07/08/2003 John T. Hawkins JR. 9143 07/13/2004 EXAMINER LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTOPHER L. MAKAY ALI, MOHAMMAD M 1634 Milam Building ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 115 East Travis Street San Antonio, TX 78205 3744

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Λ λ
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/614,842	HAWKINS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mohammad M Ali	3744
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	<u>June 2004</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.	and the second s
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 15-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and supplication Papers	rawn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	,	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document and Copies of the priority document and Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A fority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage
Attack we suit (a)		
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	, = 	

Application/Control Number: 10/614,842

Art Unit: 3744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chesnut (4,823,556). Chesnut discloses an electronic ice bank control method for regulating the growth of frozen cooling bank comprising monitoring probes 5-8 to determine the presence of ice around some specific probes, starting a cooling unit 100/101 if the probes indicate the frozen cooling bank does not cover probes 7-8 (See column 6, lines 37-45) and stopping the cooling unit 100/101 if the probes 7-8 indicate the frozen cooling bank covers the probes 7-8 (see column 6, lines 65-68. Also See Fig. 1. Chesnut also discloses about minimizing chances of inaccurate control (See abstract), electronic control unit 36. Chesnut discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. However, Chesnut does not disclose selected freeze point on the entire sensor unit. The Examiner considers the probes as the sensor units and cover points of the probes as the selected freeze point. Alternatively, choosing a specific freeze point and sensor unit is an obvious choice of the individual skilled in the art since there is no criticality or unexpected result from it.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 06/08/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argued, "The probes 5-8 are therefore only a single sensor unit that provides an indication of ice bank thickness at one location. Applicant accordingly submits

Application/Control Number: 10/614,842

Art Unit: 3744

Chestnut does not anticipate claims ----" The Examiner disagrees. The claimed invention does not indicate to determine the size and shape of the frozen cooling bank at different locations.

Therefore, the rejection is valid.

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad M. Ali, whose telephone number is (703) 308-5032. The examiner can be reached from 6:10am to 4:50pm from Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel, can be reached at (703) 308-2597. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7764 for regular communications and after-final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

//(d.//Cluswaf Mohammad M. Ali

July 9, 2004